

In this project, we propose to combine All-Atom (AA) Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations with Markov **State Models** (MSM) [5] to study GlyR gating mechanism. MSMs are stochastic models that describe the temporal evolution of complex systems and they have been used to provide understanding of molecular function and regulation with atomic resolution. By capitalizing on abundant structural, functional and simulation data, we aim at illuminating the complexity underlying molecular function with atomic resolution along with a description of the energetics involved.



Molecular Dynamics (MD)

System Composition (All-Atom) :

•GlyR (orange) : 1 molecule •POPC (gray) : 308 molecules •Waters : 41976 molecules •NaCl (purple and green) : 150 mM **Force Field :** CHARMM36m [6] Software : GROMACS 2021 [7] **Temperature / Pressure :** 300 K / 1 bar Nb of trajectories : 61 **Nb of starting structures :** 24

Simulation time / trajectory: 500 ns



Markov State Models (MSM)

- Stochastic model to describe a sequence of events where the probability of each event only depends on the previous state
- In MD, an MSM describes the different structural states of the system and the transition mechanisms
- The molecular system needs to be markovian (memoryless) and the dynamics reversible
- The aim is to decipher the structural, kinetic and thermodynamic properties of the



Preliminary results

Goal : construct the first complete model of ion gating in a human synaptic receptor

- We have produced **30,5 µs** of MD simulations of the GlyR in all known conformational states
- We have determined an **ensemble of features** capable of clustering the structures in biologically relevant state
- We have constructed a **preliminary model** that distinguishes four relevant states: resting, preactive, active and desensitized
- We are currently working on sampling the transition between the open and desensitized state to construct a partial MSM describing the desensitization **mechanism** along with the energetics involved



On the left, ensemble of structural features selected for MSM construction: W110-D102 distance (cyan), W159-Q235 distance (magenta), M2 helix tilt (orange), pore size at L9' (blue), pore size at P-2' (green), blooming angle (θ, purple) and twisting angle (τ, purple). On the right, preliminary energy landscape for the WT GlyR in APO state. The trajectories are projected into IC1 and IC2, two reaction coordinates obtained with TICA, a dimensionality-reduction algorithm commonly used in MSM construction [10]. The colour is related to the free energy value. The points are the projection of the experimental structures used as starting structures for the MD simulations: resting (red, 6PXD), pre-active (green, 6PM3), active (cyan, 6PM2) and desensitized (orange, 6PM1).

Acknowledgments

Future perspectives

- 1. Provide a description of the **conformational transition pathways** underlying synaptic receptor activation and desensitization with atomic resolution
- 2. Reveal the existence of structural intermediates which are not accessible experimentally
- 3. Quantify the **free energy changes** and **barriers** between the physiological states of the receptor.
- 4. Construct a **second model** in presence of **agonist** (taurine)
- 5. Provide quantitative understanding to the allosteric modulation of gating via the changes in free energy changes involved upon ligand binding.
- 6. Establish a general framework to rationalize the allosteric modulation of synaptic receptor by binding events and/or pathogenic mutations that could open to the development of drug therapies against neurological disorders and cancer.

- We would like to thank Jean-Pierre Changeaux for providing financial support for this project
- This project was supported by UE H2020-SGA-FETFLAG-HBP-2019 SGA3
- This work was granted access to the HPC resources of CINES under the allocation A13 made by GENCI

References

[1] M. Cecchini et al., Neuropharmacology, vol. 96, no. PB, pp. 137–149, 2015. [2] J. W. Lynch et al., Advances in Pharmacology, vol. 79, pp. 225–253, Academic Press, 2017. [3] B. Katz et al., The Journal of physiology, vol. 138, pp. 63–80, 09 1957. [4] M. Gielen et al., The Journal of Physiology, vol. 596, 02 2018. [5] B. Husic et al., Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 140, 01 2018. [6] J. B. Klauda et al., The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, vol. 114, no. 23, pp. 7830–7843, 2010 [7] E. Lindahl et al., "Gromacs 2021.4 manual," Nov. 2021. [8] M. K. Scherer et al., Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 5525–5542, 2015 [9] M. Hoffmann et al., Machine Learning: Science and Technology, 10, 2021. [10] S. Schultze et al., Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 17 (9), 5766-5776, 2021